THE MOVING GARDENS: REEF FISHES GRAZING, CLEANING,

AND FOLLOWING GREEN TURTLES IN SW ATLANTIC

by

Cristina SAZIMA (1, 3), Alice GROSSMAN (2), Claudio BELLINI (2) & Ivan SAZIMA (1)

ABS TRACT. - Reef fishes may associate with marine turtles and graze on their shells, or clean their head, neck and flippers. On a reef flat at Fernando de Noronha Archipelago, SW Atlantic, we recorded green turtles (*Chelonia mydas*) grazed, cleaned and followed by reef fishes. The green turtle seeks specific sites on the reef and pose there for the grazers and/or cleaners. Fishes recorded associated to green turtles included omnivorous and herbivorous reef species such as the damselfish *Abudefduf saxatilis* and the surgeonfishes *Acanthurus chirurgus* and *A. coeruleus*. The turtle is followed by the wrasse *Thalassoma noronhanum* only while engaged in foraging bouts on benthic algae. Following behaviour is a previously unrecorded feeding association between turtles and fishes.

RtS UMt. - Des jardins mobiles: des poissons de rdcif broutent, nettoient et suivent les tortues vertes dans FAtlantique occidental.

Des poissons de r6cif peuvent &re associ6s ~ des tortues marines; ils broutent les algues poussant sur leurs carapaces corndes ou nettoient leur t&e, cou et pattes. Sur un platier r6cifal de FArchipel de Femando de Noronha, Atlantique oceidental, des tortues vertes (*Chelonia mydas*) brout~es, nettoy~es et suivies par des poissons de rdcif ont dtd observdes. La tortue verte cherche des sites spdcifiques dans les r6cifs, oii elle se repose pour que les poissons viennent brouter sur leur carapace et/ou les nettoyer. Les poissons associds **a** la tortue verte sont des esp~ces r~cifales omnivores, comme le poisson demoiselle *Abudefduf saxatilis, ou* herbivores comme les poissons chirurgiens *Acanthurus chirurgus* et *A. coeruleus*. La tortue est suivie par le labre *Thalassonia noronhanum* seulement pendant qu'il se nourrit d'algues benthiques. Uassociation entre tortues et poissons suiveurs est obsery de pour la prerm~re fois dans VAtlantique occidental.

Key words. - Acanthuridae - Pomacentridae - Labridae - Chelonia mydas - ASW - Fish foraging - Symbiosis.

Marine herbivorous fishes may graze upon algal growth on turtles' shell, getting food and thus reducing drag for the turtle (Losey *et al.*, 1994). Three species of surgeonfishes, *Acanthurus nigrifuscus, Ctenochaetus strigosus* and *Zebrasoma flavescens* are reported to graze on the green turtle, *Chelonia mydas*, in Hawaii (Losey *et al.*, 1994). They graze largely on the shell, but occasionally may feed on skin areas, and in both instances the surgeonfishes scrape the algae in their typical feeding pattern of tightly clustered bites (Losey *et al.*, 1994). Herbivorous fishes that graze on turtles' shells and other body areas may consume molting skin from the head and neck, and apparently may remove ectoparasites as well, thus occasionally acting as cleaners (Losey *et al.*, 1994).

Cleaning symbiosis is another kind of feeding association recorded between fishes and turtles (Booth and Peters, 1972; Losey *et al.*, 1994). In this association the cleaners feed on ectoparasites, diseased or injured tissues, and mucus from the body surface of their "clients" (generally other fishes), which in their turn get rid of unwanted material (review in Losey, 1987). Several cleaner species hold clean

ing stations, specific sites on the reef visited by clients seeking for cleaning services (Losey, 1978). Cleaning symbiosis in coral reefs involves fishes and shrimps as cleaners, and fishes as well as reptiles as clients (Feder, 1966; Hobson, 1969; Booth and Peters, 1972). Cleaning association with the turtle *Chelonia mydas is* reported for four reef fish species, the damselfish *Abudefduf sexfasciatus* and the wrasse *Thalassom ulunare* in Australia (Booth and Peters, 1972), as well as the wrasse *Thalassom ulunare* and the puffer *Canthigasterjactator* in Hawaii (Losey *et al.*, 1994). For marine turtles other than *C. mydas*, cleaning association is reported for the hawksbill *Eretmochelys imbricata* and the angelfish *Pomacanthus paru* in the Caribbean (Smith, 1988).

Following behaviour is an unrecorded feeding association between fishes and turtles, although a common habit among several reef fishes (e.g., Hobson, 1968; Diamant and Shpigel, 1985; Strand, 1988). Such feeding association implies in a "nuclear" species which disturbs the substrate during its foraging and thus displaces or uncovers hidden prey, and "follower" species which capitalize on this other

- (1) Departamento de Zoologia e Museu de História Natural, Caixa Postal 6109, Universidade Estadual de Campinas, 13083-970 Campinas, S50 Paulo, BRAZIL. [esazima@unicamp.br]
- (2) Projeto Tamar-Ibama, Alameda Boldr6 s/no, 53990-000 Fernando de Noronha, Pernambuco, BRAZIL.
- (3) Departamento de Zoologia, Caixa Postal 199, Universidade Estadual Paulista, 13506-900 Rio Claro, S50 Paulo, BRAZIL.

Cybium 2004, 28(1): 47-53.

Reeffishes grazing, cleaning, and jollowing green turtles in SWAtlantic

wise unavailable food supply (Hobson, 1968, 1974; Diamant and Shpigel, 1985; Strand, 1988). Several reef fish species have been recorded associated with octopuses, moray eels, rays, and other substrate-disturbin fishes (Karplus, 1978; Ormond, 1980; Diamant and Shpigel, 1985; Strand, 1988).

Herein we report on reef fishes grazing on, and cleaning, the green turtle (*Chelonia mydas*) on a reef flat at Fernando de Noronha Archipelago, SW Atlantic. Additionally, we record a wrasse species following the turtle while the latter is engaged in foraging bouts on benthic algae. Besides general observations on the cleaning association between green turtles and their cleaners and followers, our study addressed the following questions: Does the grazing/cleaning association take place at any site of the reef flat or do the green turtles seek the cleaners at their stations? During inspecting and/or cleaning the turtle, do the cleaners concentrate on any specific body part? How do green turtles behave while being grazed/cleaned? What a planktivorous and cleaning wrasse (Francini-Filho *et al.*, 2000) seeks while following a turtle?

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Our field observations were conducted at a reef flat of the Bafa do Sueste, Fernando de Noronha National Marine Park, Fernando de Noronha Archipelago (03'50'S 32'15'W) off NE Brazil (see Maida and Ferreira, 1997; Carleto and Olson 1999 for maps and description). The interactions between turtles and fishes were first documented in February 1999 and then sporadically recorded from October 2001 to October 2002.

The study site is within an inlet and is composed by an inner, protected reef flat and shallow area near shore and an outer reef slope leading to deeper parts of the Bafa do Sueste (Fig. 1). The flat and the slope are built by sandy bottom and irregular rocky patches sparsely to thickly covered by brown foliose algae, red coralline algae, and stony corals (Maida *et al.*, 1995; Sanches and Bellini, 1999).

The behavioural events involving reef fishes and green turtles were recorded over 56 days while snorkelling. During the observational sessions of 1-12 min we used 'focal animal' sampling, in which all occurrences of specified actions were recorded (Altmann, 1974). Beside records pencilled on plastic slates, behavioural events were photographed and video-recorded, the tape being on file at the Museu de Hist6ria Natural, Universidade Estadual de Campinas (ZUEC tape # 14) and at the Tamar/Ibam quarters in the National Marine Park of Fernando de Noronha Archipelago.

The analysed video-recorded sequence, with the turtle posing and the fishes inspecting and feeding, lasted for

48

SAZIMA, GROSSMAN, BELLIN1 & SAZIMA

about 11 min. We considered fishes facing the turtle and positioned less than 15 cm away from its body as inspecting it, and scored a feeding nip only when it was unmistakably recognized as such. For precision sake we used only the video-taped sequence in which feeding nips could be unmistakably counted (about 4 min). Hence, our inspection/feec counts presumably underestimate the number of feeding nips, especially those on the turtle's shell due to its disruptive pattern and the thus sometimes blurred effect.

Due to the protected status of the study site we refrained from sampling, grazer/cleaner individuals for gut contents, as this would imply spearfishing and use of this gear would raise strong opposition from both the islanders and the tourists. However, we scraped off material from the shell and soft parts of the turtles (N = 2) to gain insight into what may be available as food to the grazers and cleaners. The material sampled from the turtles, collected exclusively from cleaned individuals, was examined and identified under a stercomicroscope and a microscope. Additionally, we inspected three turtles visually for the presence of larger attached

RESULTS

We recorded green turtles foraging on benthic algal banks at high tide in a relatively large area of the reef flat of the Bafa do Sueste (Fig. 1) at sites 1-2 m deep. Fishes following the foraging turtles were recorded at these feeding grounds only. On the other hand, grazing/cleaning associations were restricted to the deeper (2.54.5 m) parts of the slope (Fig. 1), where we recorded two cleaning stations at the high tide.

A_.

Figure 1. - Green turtle's feeding (F) and cleaning (C) grounds at the Baia do Sueste, Fernando de Noronha Archipelago, SW Atlantic. Scale bar = 50 m.

Cybium 2004, 28(1)




Table 1. - Reef fish species, inspection and feeding nips at body parts of the green turtle (*Chelonia mydas*), as scored from 4 min video-recording at the Baia do Sueste, Fernando de Noronha

Archipelago, SW Atlantic.

Fish species	Inspections/feeding nips		
	(n fishes close to the body part)		
	Shell		Head/neck
Pomacentridae			
Abudefduf saxatilis	3/0	16/3	13/13
	(n =1)	(n = 1-2)	(n = 1-2)
Acanthuridae			
Acanthurus	16/6	35/14	10/9
	(n = 1 - 8)	(n = 1-6)	(n = 1-3)
Acanthurus	2/0	8/2	1/0
	(n=1)	(n = 1-2)	(n = 1)

The two cleaning stations were held by the ubiquitous damselfish *Abudefduf saxatilis* and the surgeonfishes *Acanthurus chirurgus* and *A. coeruleus*. The cleaning stations were located at calcareous concretions and rocky outcrops where the cleaners (12-25 individuals about 7-12 cm

Reeffishes grazing, cleaning, and jollowing green turtles in SWAtlantic

TL) concentrated and hovered about 0.54.5 m above the station and/or the bottom.

The three above-mentioned reef fish species were recorded inspecting the green turtle's shell, but only the doctorfish (A. *chirurgus*) was actually feeding on this body part (Tab. 1). The grazing behaviour by surgeonfishes on turtles was recorded only at the cleaning stations, simultaneously to inspection and cleaning of its soft parts by other individuals of the same or other species (Tab. 1). The grazing by surgeonfishes on the green turtle's shell followed their usual bite pattern while grazing on bottom algae at the study site (pers. obs.).

Cleaning/grazing on the green turtles' soft parts was recorded for the damselfish and both the two surgeonfish species (Tab. 1). This was preceded by a characteristic inspection usually followed by feeding nips on the turtles' skin surface. Some of the bites were forcible and clearly directed to particular, visible items on the turtle's skin. On occasions the turtle moved its forelimbs to chase away fishes that were feeding in this way. The most inspected and

Figure 2. - A green turtle foraging on benthic algae, followed by four individuals of the wrasse *Thalassoma noronhanum* (close to left side of carapace) on a reef flat at Fernando de Noronha Archipelago, SW Atlantic. Curved carapace length about 54 cm. From a videorecord frame by A. Grossman.

CYbium 2004, 28(1)

49



Reeffishes grazing, cleaning, andfollowing green turtles in SWAtlantic

cleaned body parts were the flippers (Tab. 1). Neck and head areas were also inspected and cleaned, whereas the anal region went untouched by the fishes.

Tufts of small filamentous algae dominated the samples scrapped off the turtles. The brown *Ectocarpus* cf. *breviarticulatus* (Ectocarpaceae) and the red *Herposiphoni isecunde* (Rhodomelaceae were present in similar amount in the soft parts (neck, limbs) samples. Other algae were rare on these samples, including the green *Cladophora sp.* (Cladophoraceae) and the red *Janic* cf. *adhaereis* (Corallinacca The brown *E. cf. breviarticulatus* dominated the shell samples as well, whereas H. *secunda* was scarce there. In the shell samples we also found a plantule of the brown *Dictyota sp.* (Diety otaceae). We found no parasites or other epizoic animals in our samples. On the visually inspected turtles, besides the aforementioned algae, we found very few turtle barnacles on the shell (see Bugoni *et al., 2001* for brazilian commensal barnacles of sea turtles).

At the cleaning stations the turtles displayed characteristic soliciting postures (cf. Losey *et al., 1994*). While being grazed and/or cleaned a turtle remained almost motionless with its flippers extended and drooped downward, in a posture more exaggerated than that illustrated by Booth and Peters (1972). Its neck was extended and bent downward throughout most of the cleaning session. While engaged in a cleaning association, the turtle hovered above or by the cleaning station, about 0.51.5 m away from the bottom.

We recorded no inspecting by cleaner fishes or cleaning associations while the green turtle was foraging, and recorded no feeding activities by the turtle while it was attended at the cleaning stations. The turtle seemed rather to concentrate on the cleaning, and held its position close to the station using discrete limb movements. We recorded the turtle raising to the surface for breathing, and thereafter actively seeking the same site where it was being cleaned before the surfacing.

At the green turtles' feeding areas (Fig. 1) we recorded another kind of association with reef fishes. While on a foraging bout the turtle selected and picked up benthic algae on the reef flat gnd was occasionally followed by the wrasse *Thalassoma noronhanum*. One to four fish (6-8 cm TL) were recorded closely following the turtle, and feeding on drifting particles turned loose from the bottom by the turtle's feeding activity. The fish approached the turtle's forebody mostly when the latter picked up the algae stirring the substrate a little (Fig. 2).

DISCUSSION

Several reef fish species that act as cleaners occupy cleaning stations or areas, either permanent or temporary, mostly located on conspicuous portions of the reefs (Feder,

50

SAZIMA, GROSSMAN, BELLIN1 & SAZIMA

1966; Losey, 1987; Sazima et al., 1999). The cleaning stations at the Baia do Sueste follow the general pattern found for other reef species that act as cleaners in mid-water aggregation e.g., the labric *Thalassoma* noronhanum and the chaetodontic *Chaetodon striatus* (Francini-Filhc et al., 2000; Sazima and Sazima, 2001). The stations held by the damselfish and surgeonfishes were conspicuous within the relatively bare reef flat environment, which rendered the hovering cleaners easy to find by humans (and presumably by the turtles as well).

Surgeonfishes are regarded as an almost entirely herbivorous group and dependent on algae as their primary food (Randall 1967; Bdhlke and Chaplin, 1968; Earle, 1972). The algal-eating acanthurids are able to exploit diverse micro-habitats during their foraging (e.g., Earle, 1972; Hobson, 1974; Losey *et al.*, 1994), and the three species of *Acanthurus* recorded on the Brazil's coast feeds on algae growing on sand surface, attached to rocks,

on algae, *Acanthurus bahianu*. and *A. chirurgus* may be regarded as omnivores that supplement their herbivorous diet with detritus, benthic micro-fauna, and plankton as well (Randall 1967; Duarte and Acero, 1988). Furthermore, searching for other food sources and/or situations may be a common trait for some *Acanthurus* species (Duarte and Acero, 1988; Dias *et al.*, 2001). Thus, algae attached to the shell of marine turtles represent another grazing opportunity for those species able to notice and exploit this food source. Moreover, besides the algae on the shell the opportunistic acanthurids may take molting skin and perhaps ectoparasites as well, both items found on the turtles' soft parts (Booth and Peters, 1972; Losey *et al.*, 1994). Thus, the feeding association of acanthurids with marine turtles is likely related to their ability to exploit diverse microhabitats while foraging plus their flexible diets, even if mostly based on algae (Randall, 1967; Duarte and Acero, 1988; Dias *et al.*, 2001; Losey *et al.*, 1994).

Brown and red algae dominated the samples scrapped off the turtles. These two major taxa of marine macro-algae present lower value than green algae as food for fishes, based on their nutrient and energy contents (Montgomery and Gerking, 1980). However, brown and red algae compose the bulk of food in stomach contents of the western Atlantic *Acanthurus* species (Randall, 1967; Duarte and Acero, 1988; Dias *et al.*, 2001), and we submit that these algae are consumed by those individuals grazing on the turtle. Based on their inspection prior to the nips we suppose the grazers visually select the algae they will pick on the turtle's body. Visual selection seems habitual for some algae grazers, including the green turtle (Montgomery and Gerking, 1980; Sazima and Sazima, 1983; Dias *et al.*, 2001).

So far the Atlantic damselfish Abudefduf saxatilis is

Cybium 2004, 28(1)

SAZIMA, GROSSMAN, BELLIN1 & SAZIMA

recorded as an occasional cleaner of reef fishes only (Colin, 1975; Sazima, 1986; Carvalho-Filh(1999). In this study, however, it was recorded cleaning green turtles, picking at algae, molting skin, and perhaps small ectop arasites as well. Similarly, the Pacific *A. troschelii*, besides cleaning reef fishes (Hobson 1968; McCourt and Thomson 1984), picks off molting skin of submersed marine iguanas, *Amblyrhynchuscristatus* (Hobson, 1969). The also Pacific *A. sexfasciatus* occasionally plucks algal growth from green turtles positioned in mid-water (Booth and Peters, 1972). Species of *Abudefduf* are omnivorous benthic-feeders which may also forage for plankton in the water column (Hobson, 1968; Randall, 1967; Fishelson, 1970; Carvalho-Filh, 1999; Sazim; and Sazima 2001). Nevertheless, Hobson (1971) suggests that substrate-pickin predators which also feed on drifting plankton have traits well-suited to perform cleaning. Thus, we predict that cleaning by species of *Abudefduf* on turtles will eventually be found in other areas.

Marine turtles bear ectoparasite such as coronulic and platylep adid barnacles (Bugoni *et al.*, 2001), as well as occasional leeches, whereas reef fishes are infested mostly by copepods and isopods (Grutter, 1994, 1999). We suggest that turtle cleaners do not specialise in this kind of symbiosis, as the habitual and more specialized cleaner species feed mostly if not exclusively on ectoparasitic crustaceans of fishes (Feder, 1966; Losey, 1971; Hobson, 1971; Grutter, 1999). Only particular individuals of the wrasse *Thalassoma duper rey*, an opportunistic and versatile forager, are reported to specialise on parasitic turtle barnacles in Hawaii (Losey *et al.*, 1994). Thus, we suggest that in most instances marine turtles are cleaned by reef fishes which are opportunistic feeders, and/or by those which have a broad diet (even if only occasionally, such as the acanthurids) Indeed, records on reef fishes cleaning reptiles are restricted to substrate-pickers, omnivores, and non-obligate cleaner species (Hobson 1969; Booth and Peters, 1972; Smith, 1988; Losey *et al.*, 1994; Moll, 1995).

The behaviour of *Chelonia mydas* we recorded at the cleaning stations is undoubtedly an instance of grazing and cleaning symbiosis between reef fishes and marine reptiles (Losey, 197 1; Losey *et al.*, 1994). The turtle did not simply passed by or loitered at the cleaning stations, but concentrated on cleaning activities. Additionally, no foraging was ever recorded at the cleaning stations. As the green turtle feeding grounds at the Baia do Sueste do not overlap with the cleaning area, we surmise that the turtles seek for specific sites on the reef to be grazed and/or cleaned. This assumption is strengthened by our observation on a turtle raising to the surface to breathe during a cleaning interaction, and thereafter returning to the same site to resume the cleaning session. Moreover, at cleaning stations the turtles displayed typical soliciting postures, such as hovering in a motionless posture and relaxing the flippers/neck to an

Cybium 2004, 28(1)

Reeffishes grazing, cleaning, and following green turtles in SWAtlantic

extended downward position (see Booth and Peters, 1972; Smith, 1988; Losey *et al.*, 1994 for illustration and description). Additionally, the turtles' forelimb movements to repel an occasional "hasty" cleaner probably are an aversive response to a plausibly painful stimulus due to the removal of a parasite embedded in the skin and/or a sensitive (wounded?) skin portion. These aversive responses are reminiscent of the jerking or shuddering movements performed by fish and turtle clients after an aching bite from their cleaners (Losey, 1971; Losey *et al.*, 1994; Sazima *et al.*, 1999).

Several species of follower fishes are recorded associated with animals as diverse as octopuses, moray eels, rays and other fishes disturbing the substrate during their feeding activity (Karplus 1978; Ormond 1980; Diamant and Shpigel, 1985; Strand, 1988). Fish followers are previously unrecorded associated with marine turtles, even though these reptiles are common in the reef environment, particularly during their foraging (e.g., Sazima and Sazima, 1983; Sanches and Bellini, 1999). *Chelonic mydas* grazes primarily on benthic algae and ingests apical portions of larger algae, whereas the smaller ones may be torn away entirely (Sazima and Sazima,

1983). Thus, the feeding behaviour of the green turtle disturbs the substrate, raising drifting particles and uncovering small crustaceans associated to the algae and has the potential to attract fishes which feed on these food types, as is the case of the wrasse Thalassoma noronhanum recorded herein. The Noronha wrasse is reported as a benthic invertebratespicker, plankton-eater, and a cleaner holding mid-water cleaning stations (FranciniFilho et al., 2000; Rocha et al., 2001). However, we noticed that this wrasse is a very versatile forager, that besides picking off plankters and small benthic organisms, acts as follower and part-time cleaner of parrotfishes, grunts and other substrate-disturbing fishes, and often feeds on their faeces (coprophagy see Sazima et al., 2003). It likely perceives diverse foraging situations and use several kinds of food supplies, acting as a sort of "jack-of-all-trades' forager (see Losey et al., 1994 for comments on T. duperrey). Being a cleaner, T. noronhanum may perhaps clean the green turtle along with its following behaviour, making shifts between these two roles, a suggestion that remains to be verified. At our study site we recorded such shifts in cleaning and following roles for this wrasse when associated with larger fishes like species of the parrotfish Sparisoma and the grunt Haemulon parra.

Grazing and substratc-disturbing marine vertebrates other than turtles most probably attract fish followers as well. While foraging on algae, marine iguanas (*Amblyrhynchus cristatus*) are likely candidates to play a nuclear role for opportunistic micro-carnivores as well as planktivores and foragers on particulat matter such as labrids (Hobson 1991). Marine grazing mammals such as

Reeffishes grazing, cleaning, and following green turtles in SWAtlantic

dugongs (*Dugong dugong*) and manatees (*Trichechus manatus*) would play a similar nuclear role for fish followers over the sea grass flats and algae beds they forage on.

Taken together, data from the literature and our observations indicate that feeding associations between reef fishes and marine turtles (and other marine vertebrates as well) may be widespread, albeit little studied. Even if widespread the possibility remains that these are events very localisei in space and/or time, or that this is an uncommon behaviour restricted to a few communities and/or populations of reef fishes, which would explain the scarcity of reports about this kind of marine symbiosis (see these views in Losey *et al.*, 1994; Sazima *et al.*, 2003).

Acknowledgements. - We thank the Projet CTama1 and the Centro Golfinho Rotador (through LM. SilvaJr.) for logistical support at Fernando de Noronha Archipelago; J.P. Krajewski and R.M. Bonaldo for help with field work; M. Sazima for help with algae identification; A.S. Abe and J. Zuanon for suggestions on the manuscript; the Ibania for permission to study reef fishes at the Marine National Park of Fernando de Noronha; the CNP q, FAEP Unicamp, FAPESP and Funda95c Pr6-Tama1 for essential financial support (Projet CTAMAF is affiliated with IBAMA comanage by the FundagAo Pr6-TAMAR, and officially sponsored by Petrobras).

REFERENCES

ALTMANN J., 1974. - Observational study of behavior: Sampling methods. *Behaviour*, 49: 227-265.

BOHLKE YE. & C.C.G. CHAPLIN 1968. - Fishes of the Bahamas and adjacent tropical Waters. 700 p. Wynnewood: Livingston Publ.

BOOTH J. & LA. PETERS, 1972. - Behavioural studies on the green turtle (*Chelonia mydas*) in the sea. *Anim. Behav.*, 20: 808-812.

BUGONI L., KRAUSI L., ALMEIDAA.O. & A.A.P. BUENO 2001. Commensal barnacles of sea turtles in Brazil. *Mar. Turtle Newsl.*, 94: 7-9.

CARLETON M.D. & S.L. OLSON, 1999. - Amerigo Vespucci and the rat of Fernando de Noronha: a new genus and species of Rodentia (Muridae: Sigmodontinae) from a volcanic island off Brazil's continental shelf. *Am. Mus. Novit.*, 3256: 1-59.

CARVALHO-FIL140 A., 1999. - Peixes: Costa brasileira. 2nd Edit. 304 p. Sdo Paulo: Melro.

COLIN R, 1975. - The Neon Gobies 304 p. Neptune City: T.F.H. Publications.

DIAS T.L.P., ROSA I.L. & B.M. FEITOZA 2001. - Food resource and habitat sharing by the three Western South Atlantic surgeonfishes (Teleostei: Acanthuridae: *Acanthurus*) off Paralba coast, North-Eastern Brazil. *Aqua. J. Ichthyol. Aq. Biol.*, 5: 1-10.

DIAMANT A. & M. SHPIGEL, 1985. - Interspecific feeding association of groupers (Teleostei: Serranidae) with octopuses and moray eels in the Gulf of Eilat (Aqaba). *Environ. Biol. Fish.*, 13: 153-159.

DUARTE C.S.A. & A.P. ACERO, 1988. - Hdbitos alimentarios de los peces del g~ncro Acanthurus (Perciformes Acanthuridae en la regi6n de Santa Marta (Caribe colombiano). *Rev. Biol. Trop.*, 36: 399-405.

52

SAZIMA, GROSSMAN, BELLINI & SAZIMA

EARLE S.A., 1972. - The influence of herbivores on the marine plants of great Lameshur Bay, with annotated list of plants. *Bull. Nat. Hist. Mus. Los Angeles County*, 14: 17-44.

FEDER H.M., 1966. - Cleaning symbiosis in the marine environment. *In:* Symbiosis (Henry S.M., ed.), pp. 327-380. New York: Academic Press.

FISHELSON L., 1970. - Behaviour and ecology of a population of *Abudefduf* saxatilis (Pomacentridae, Teleostei) at Eilat (Red Sea). Anim. Behav., 189: 225-237.

Cleaning by the wrasse *Thalassoma noronhanum*, with two records of predation by its grouper client *Cephalopholis.fulva J. Fish Biol.*, 56: 802-809.

GRUTTER A.S., 1994. - Spatial and temporal variations of the ectoparasites of seven reef fish species from Lizard Island and Heron Island, Australia. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 115: 21-30.

GRUTTER A.S., 1999. - Cleaner fish really do clean. Nature, 398: 672-673.

HOBSON E.S., 1968. - Predatory behavior of some shore fishes in the Gulf of California. U.S. *Fish Wildl. Serv. Res. Rep.*, 73:

1-92.

HOBSON E.S., 1969. - Remarks on aquatic habits of the Galapagos marine iguana, including submergence times, cleaning symbiosis, and the shark threat. *Copeia*, 1969: 401402.

HOBSON E.S., 1971. - Cleaning symbiosis among California inshore fishes. *Fish. Bull.*, 69(3): 491-523.

HOBSON E.S., 1974. - Feeding relationships of teleostean fishes on coral reefs in Kona, Hawaii. *Fish. Bull.*, 72: 915-1031.

HOBSON E.S., 1991. - Trophic relationships of fishes specialized to feed on zooplankters above coral reefs. *In:* The Ecology of Fishes on Coral Reefs (Sale RE, ed.), pp. 69-95. London: Academic Press.

KARPLUS L, 1978. - A feeding association between the grouper *El~inephelus fasciatus* and the moray eel *Gymnothorax gnseus. Copeia*, 1978:164.

LOSEY G.S., 197 1. - Communication between fishes in cleaning symbiosis. *In:* Aspects of the Biology of Symbiosis (Cheng

T.C., ed.), pp. 45-76. Baltimore: Univ. Park Press.

LOSEY G.S., 1978. - The symbiotic behavior of fishes. *In:* The Behaviour of Fish and other aquatic Animals (Mostofsky D.l., ed.), pp. 1-3 1. New York: Academic Press.

LOSEY G.S., 1987. - Cleaning symbiosis. Symbiosis, 4: 229-258.

LOSEY G.S., BALAZS G.H. & L.A. PRIVIT ERA, 1994. Cleaning symbiosis between the wrasse *Thalassoma duperrey* and the green turtle *Chelonia mydas. Copeia*, 1994: 684-690.

MAIDA M. & BY. FERREIRA, 1997. - Coral reefs of Brazil: An overview. *In:* Proc. 8th Int. Coral Reef Symp., 1: 263-274.

MAIDA M., FERREIRA BY. & C. BELLINI, 1995. - AvaliaQAo preliminar do recife da Baia do Sueste, Fernando de Noronha, corn ~nfase nos corais escleractineos. *Bol. Tic. Cient. CEPENE*, 3: 37-47.

McCOURT R.M. & D.A. THOMSON 1984. - Cleaning behavior of the juvenile panamic sergeant major, *Abudefduf troschelii* (Gill), with a r6sumd of cleaning associations in the Gulf of California and adjacent waters. *Calif. Fish Game*, 70: 234-239.

MOLL D., 1995. - Cleaning symbiosis behavior between a captive Kemp's ridley and porkfish. *Mar. Turtle Newsl.*, 68: 2.

MONT GOMERY W.L. & S.D. GERKING 1980. - Marine macroalga(as foods for fishes: an evaluation of potential food quality. *Envir. Biol. Fish.*, *5*: 143-153.

ORMOND R.F.G., 1980. - Aggressive mimicry and other interspecific feeding associations among Red Sea coral reef predators. J. Zool., *Lond.*, 191: 247-262.

Cybium 2004, 28(1)

SAZIMA, GRosSMAN, BELLIN1 & SAZIMA

Reeffishes grazing, cleaning, and following green turtles in SWAtlantic

RANDALL LE, 1967. - Food habits of reef fishes of the West Indies. *Stud. Trop. Ocean.*, 5: 665-847.

ROCHA L.A., GUIMARAES R2.P. & J.L. GASPARINI, 2001. -Redescription of the Brazilian wrasse *Thalassoma noronhanum* (Boulenger, 1890) (Teleostei: Labridae). *Aqua. J. Ichthyol. Aq. Biol.*, 4: 105-108.

SANCHES T.M. & C. BELLINI, 1999. - Juvenile *Eretmochelys imbricata* and *Chelonia mydas* in the Archipelago of Fernando de Noronha, Brazil. *Chel. Cons. Biol.*, 3: 308-311.

SAZIMA I_ 1986. - Similarities in feeding behaviour between some marine and freshwater fishes in two tropical communities. J. Fish Biol., 29: 53-65.

SAZIMA 1. & M. SAZIMA, 1983. - Aspectos de comportamento alimentar c dieta da tartaruga marinha, *Chelonia mydas*, no litoral norte paulista. *Bolm. Inst. Oceanogr. S. Paulo*, 32: 199-203.

SAZIMA L, MOURA R.L.M. & C. SAZIMA, 1999. - Cleaning activity of juvenile angelfish, *Pomacanthus paru*, on the reefs of the Abrolhos Archipelago, western South Atlantic. *Environ. Biol. Fish.*, 56: 399-407.

Cybium 2004, 28(1)

- Plankton-feeding aggregation and occasional cleaning by adult butterflyfisl, *Chaetodo istriatus* (Chaetodontidae), in western Atlantic. *Cybium*, 25: 145-151.

SAZIMA I., SAZIMA C. & LM. SILVA-Jr., 2003. - The cetacean offal connection: feces and vomits of spinner dolphins as a food source for reef fishes. *Bull. Mar. Sci.*, 72: 151-160.

SMITH S.H., 1988. - Cleaning of the hawksbil turtle (*Eretmochely. imbricata* by adult French angelfish (*Pomacanthus paru*). Herp. Rev., 19: 55.

STRAND S., 1988. - Following behavior: interspecific foraging associations among Gulf of California reef fishes. *Copeia*, 1988: 351-357.

Reeu le 19 mai 2003. Accept,~ pour publication le 09 septembre 2003.

53

SAZIMA C. & 1. SAZIMA, 2001.